

Jennifer Orozco

**From:** lqstec@whereless.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 1:54 PM  
**To:** glbedortha@yahoo.com; Ann Beier; michael.ccp@outlook.com; awsmhermie@gmail.com; bobl@coi-llc.com; lindamanningtwo@gmail.com; Katie McDonald; Hannah Elliott; gp39490@icloud.com; Jennifer Orozco; Will VanVactor; Brent Bybee  
**Subject:** Knife River Proposed Conditions for consideration  
**Attachments:** I don't have a taser.png

Sorry if I embarrassed anyone last night. I normally have a thick skin in public situations and usually ignore pointed comments.... I do know what authority I do and do not have on a quasi-judicial commission. However, the comment was made three separate times in a manner I felt was condescending and I did not appreciate it.

Hours of Operation: No Saturday or Sunday operations. Except for any dust mitigation needs.... Give the neighbors a couple of days of peace and quiet.

Dust Mitigation Plan: Ex.23 Can berm spraying be added as an activity? Benefit to public health and nearby farming operations. Any neighbor complaints directed to County first?

Groundwater Guarantee: Ex.24 pg.3 C. What? "Operator not required by this condition...." I think the nearby property wells must have baseline testing--Static water level, quality and temperature testing prior to any cell opening...This should be a SHALL. Applicant should be required to continue testing until the final excavated cell is reclaimed. They also submitted a new site map Ex. 24 pg. 1, that shows the smaller cells and the trenching. Should this replace the one in their Comp. Plan Amendment application?

Reclamation---Also Ex. 26 2.C. relates to the new map and says they will be mining in smaller cells, and will reclaim each cell immediately prior to opening the next cell. This is a good thing and should be made a condition.

Berms: CUP Condition 14 says at a minimum berms required along Stanhancyk and Lamonta, minimum 8 to 15 ft, high---to be discussed at public hearing. Applicant submitted Ex.22 and the end of last night's hearing, it was not discussed and it does not include a berm on Lamonta....shows as "optional". I think, especially for aesthetics the berm on Stanhancyk should be at least 15ft. and one should be required the entire length of Lamonta Rd. and it should also be 15ft. And they should also remain in place until the entire EFU property has been reclaimed.

Thanks, LQ